#### **MILESTONE SUMMARY**

Corporate Priority	Priority Description	Performance Plan Table No:	Action completed	On Schedule	Non-critical delay	Critical delay	Totals
Priority 1	Improving Customer Service	13 & 15	3	4	2	-	9
Priority 2	Northstowe and Growth Areas	17	2	2	4	-	8
Priority 3	Affordable Housing	19	-	6	3	-	9
	Totals		5	12	9	-	26
	%		19 %	46 %	35 %	0 %	

Note: 'Critical Delay' – Indicates a damaging delay, which will either result in a:

- i. corporate priority not being achieved.
- ii. delay that will impact adversely on another milestone/PI target, which could lead to a corporate priority not being achieved.

# CORPORATELY IMPORTANT PERFORMANCE INDICATOR SUMMARY (A Combination of BV and Local PIs)

Corporate Priority	Priority Description	Type of PI	Performance Plan Table No:	On target	Non critical target miss	Critical target miss	Totals
Priority 1	Improving Customer Service	BV	14	4	1	-	5
Friority i improving Cu	improving Customer Service	Local	16	8	2	-	10
Priority 2	Northstowe and Growth Areas	BV	-	ı	-	-	Nil
Filolity 2	Nothistowe and Glowin Aleas	Local	-	-	-	-	Nil
Priority 3	Priority 3 Affordable Housing		-	-	-	-	Nil
Priority 3	Allordable Housing	Local	18	4	-	-	4
Other	Other Important Corporate Matters	BV		33	4	-	37
Other	Other Important Corporate Matters	Local		18	4	-	22
	mber of Corporately Important National and Local Pls			67	11	-	78
% in	each performance category			86 %	14 %	-	

Note: 'Critical Target Miss' – Indicates a damaging performance level, which will result in either a:

- i. corporate priority not being achieved.
- ii. consequential adverse impact on another PI/milestone, which is likely to lead to a corporate priority not being achieved.

## **PRIORITIES FOR 2007/08**

## PRIORITY 1 – To improve customer service

**Table 13 - Improve Service User Involvement** 

#	Actions	Complete by	Officer	On Target?
1	Set up a Business Stakeholder Forum on regulatory matters for EH issues	Mar 08	I Green	$\odot$
2	Hold consultation events/workshops as part of the GTDPD – providing more accessible ways for gypsies and travellers to make representations	Oct 07	K Miles	
	have already been ongoing meetings with appropriate groups. The more formal public writted until later in the year to ensure that the widest possible GTDPD consultation can be delive		on is being	Dec 07
3	Set up an Agents Forum for development control	June 07	D Rush	
	st meeting of the Agents Forum took place in June and a follow up one is planned for Septonished and is likely to meet twice per year.	ember 2007. T	he forum is	<b>V</b>
4	Set up customer focus group for service improvement for Building Control	Sept 07	A Beyer	<u></u>
The service is arranging a presentation to its customers in September and the occasion will be used to generate interest in the Focus Group, with a view to holding the first meeting by December 2007.				
	Develop the new Tenant Compact – including means to enable tenants to participate in		Hsg Serv	Dec 07
5	decision-making.	Sept 07	Mger	$\odot$
The C	ompact is close to finalisation and subject to PFH and Tenant Participation Group approval	will be publis		
6	Improve public access to decision making by arranging for:  two evening cabinet meetings (October and February)  all Scrutiny and Overview (S&O) meetings to start at 5.30 p.m.	Mar 08	R May	
S&O h from I Planni	vo Cabinet meetings will take place in October and February and S&O is already meeting at lave agreed to hold two meetings away from Cambourne: at Comberton (October) and Foxto Democratic Services are providing administrative support to these new evening meetings. In the committee also introduced public speaking from August 2007 and this was very succes and this was very succes and the meeting.	on (November	). Officers	<b>©</b>
7	Improve the Benefit Service customer focus by increasing the Dep't for Works and Pensions' user focus 'service delivery enablers' performance standard score from 5/12 to 10/12.	Mar 08	L Phanco	<u></u>

Table 14 - Performance Improvement Targets

PI No:	PI Description	07/08 Target	3 Month Actual	Year end estimate
	Average time (days) to process new benefit claims	26	22.86	
	very gratifying performance, for which the section is to be commended. At the 06/07 3-mo en was 29 days, which has now been reduced to 22.86 days.	nth stage the	e average	
	Average time (days) to process benefits change in circumstances	8	9.27	
	et could still be achieved and action will be taken to endeavour to achieve this. However the ensure that our performance enables us to maximise the available government subsidy.	e main focu	s of action	
BV109a	% major planning applications determined in 13 weeks	>70 %	80 %	<u>©</u>
BV109b	% minor planning applications determined in 8 weeks	71 %	68 %	$\odot$
BV109c	% other planning applications determined in 8 weeks	86 %	93 %	<u>©</u>
SE203	% EH complaints responded to within 3 working days	94 %	95 %	<u></u>
SE226	% of EH customers satisfied overall with the way their request for a service was handled.	93 %	N/A	<u> </u>
This data	a is obtained from an annual survey but the expectation is that the target will be achieved.			lacksquare
SH302	Tenants satisfied with response repairs as % of those returning a satisfaction slip.	95 %	98 %	<u></u>
SH327	% repair jobs where appointment was made and kept	95 %	98 %	$\odot$
SH328	Tenants satisfied with refurbishments as % of those returning a satisfaction slip	94 %	100 %	<u>©</u>
SX25	Average days to respond to standard search, received by post.	8	10	
	quarter is an estimate but most applications are being processed between 8 to 12 days. To due to the workload and staff capacity.	arget unlikel	y to be	
SX26	Average days to respond to standard search, received electronically (Achieving the 07/08 target was dependent on data conversion and the introduction of ICT systems, which were not fully in place in April 2007.)	2	10	
	al is an estimate (SX 25 refers). Data conversion and introduction of the new IT system haves. Electronic applications are currently being processed in the same way as those received		yed due to	

**Table 15 - Develop Service First** 

#	Actions	Complete by	Officer	On Target?
1	Review of the Customer Service initiative, incorporating complaints and a future programme for Service First.	Jul 07	S Hampson	<b>~</b>
2	Agree Customer Services Strategy.	Jul 07	S Hampson	<b>~</b>

#### **Table 16 - The Contact Centre**

PI No:	PI Description	07/08 Target	3 Month Actual	On Target?
SF701	% Contact Centre calls dealt with at first contact	80 %	83.26 %	$\odot$
SF703	% Contact Centre callers satisfied with how call was answered	92 %	94 %	<u>©</u>
SF731	% Contact Centre calls abandoned	<3 %	5.7 %	$\odot$
A review	of training requirements and systems access issues is expected to bring this indicator ba	ck on target.		$\odot$

## PRIORITY 2 - To achieve Successful Sustainable Communities for Northstowe and Other Growth Areas

**Table 17 - Additional Milestones (Most in INSPIRE Project Plan)** 

#	Actions	Complete by	Officer	On Target?
	Review Parish Council Boundaries for:			
	Trumpington Meadows			$\odot$
1	Arbury park	Dec 07	C Dunnet/J Thompson	$\odot$
	Northstowe			Jul 08
The No	orthstowe S106 is delayed due to the delay in the Northstowe application.	1	l	- Cuii CC
2	Decision on the formation of a Northstowe Trust and if positive, first steps to establishing.	Sep 07	J Thompson	<u>••</u>
We are	working with our partners to develop proposals. Report is expected to go to Cabinet in Oc	tober or Nove	ember 2007.	Oct/Nov
	Draft Section 106 agreement requirements for:			
	Cambourne	Jun 07		<b>~</b>
3	Trumpington Meadows	Jul 07	J Green	<b>~</b>
	<ul> <li>Northstowe</li> </ul>	Sep 07		<u>•</u>
		-		Nov 07
new ca which counc	ridgeshire Horizons co-ordinating overall S106. The Growth Area Project Manager post (state pacity and overall co-ordination for corporate requirements. The draft S106 will be informed is not expected until Oct 2007. It will be critical that officers currently working on the growth it's services and in particular in community services are retained in the forthcoming crucial relan and S106.	ed by the appli th agenda acro	cation, oss the	
4	Undertake an annual review of progress in relation to the growth areas, assessing in particular whether the lessons learnt from Cambourne and Arbury Park are being addressed and the objectives are being delivered.	Sep 07	J Green	<u></u>
Will be	looking to the Corporate Growth Area Project Manager (started 1st August 2007) to assist.		<u>I</u>	Jan 08

## PRIORITY 3 - To increase the Supply of Affordable Housing

**Table 18 - Affordable Housing Completions** 

PI No:	PI Description	07/08 Target	3 Month Actual	On Target?
SH311	Total number of completed new affordable homes	565	65	$\odot$
SH311a	without funding from the Housing Corporation	412	11	<u> </u>
SH311b	with funding from the Housing Corporation	153	54	<u> </u>
	Affordable housing planning permissions as a % of all residential permissions. ter the first three months was 10 % but for July it is closer to 33 %. The 6-month update shend-of-year prediction.	25 % ould provide	10 % a more	<u> </u>

**Table 19 - Affordable Housing Delivery** 

#	Actions	Complete by	Officer	On Target?
1	Review the Council's planning policy guide to delivering affordable housing which can be used to inform/develop the affordable housing SPD	Dec 07	Hsg Dev & En. Mgr	<u>••</u>
	ocument will be completed in draft form by the end of September 2007 and it will then be su			
	Itation. The document has to be in line with the published material in the LDF and the public eted until March 2008. It will then need to go to Cabinet for approval in April 2008.	consultation	will not be	Apr 08
2	Develop a sustainable lettings policy for Cabinet approval for Arbury Park, Cambourne, Northstowe and Cambridge Southern Fringe to - create sustainable communities where people want to live, now and in the future build high levels of social capital on the development which can be enhanced when residents see their neighbourhood as a destination in which they are prepared to invest time and effort in developing the community.	Dec 07	Head of Hsg Stra. Serv.	<b>©</b>
with t	lel local lettings policy has been developed, which can be used for all four growth sites. Arb he RSL consortium. The other three are on schedule but this is conditional on the S´ opers being concluded within the timescale.			
3	Selection of a preferred strategic delivery partner(s) for the affordable housing at Northstowe, Cambridge Southern Fringe and North West Cambridge in partnership with developers and other key stakeholders through the Cambridge Challenge a Housing Corporation led initiative	Jun 07	Head of Hsg Stra. Serv.	<u></u>

	e has been some slippage in the timescale. A preferred RSL partnership has been selected (e psed outcome will be reported to Cabinet on 13 September.	end of July 20	07). The	Sep 07
4	To achieve milestones in respect of the Airey redevelopment programme (77 affordable housing units) at: Elsworth, Sawston, Girton and Coton.	Mar 08	Hsg Dev & En. Mgr	
	nilestones will be achieved, subject to the Planning Committee giving the last scheme (Cotor me was turned down the first time the committee considered it.	n) permissior	n. The	)
5	Affordable Housing: Develop standard Section 106 Agreements.	Dec 07	G Jones/ C Dunnet	
consi	CLG produced a model Section 106 Agreement last year, which all parties are expected to for deration is being given as to whether this model is adequate for all S106 purposes e.g. affor adequate then the timetable may slip as we will need to develop a new model ourselves.			
6	Consider the representations received and prepare a draft plan for GTDPD Pre-Submission Public Participation during 2008/09	May 08	K Miles	<u>()</u>
7	Consult on an SPD to provide detailed guidance on securing the provision of affordable housing (including key worker housing) as a result of the development of open market housing.	Feb 08	C Hunt	
progr Afford objectimeta	ultation to start in Feb 08 i.e. the milestone is not about the consultation being completed. He ressing its input to the SPD as at Table 19 #1 to feed into the SPD. The City Council is also so dable Housing SPD, which will be a useful source of information given the similar policy in it tive of achieving a consistent approach across the two districts where possible and approprable are the resource implications of the Site Specific Policies DPD examination that starts 2 ne programme for the examination has not yet been received. However, it is hoped that the ti	oon to consults Local Planing in The maing 7 Nov and ru	t on an and the n risks to the ns into Jan	3
8	Manage the production and development of the GTDPD which will ensure that SCDC can meet the needs of travellers in the district and provide a policy for determining future planning applications for gypsy/traveller sites	Dec 09	K Miles	$\odot$
	ently there is some slippage, which has been caused by the delicate nature of the DPD. Howe sist the consultants to complete their work and would hope to bring the overall timetable bac		ndeavouring	
9	Complete a stock options appraisal informed by the above in full consultation with tenants, leaseholders, staff, Members and other key stakeholders	Jan 08	D Lewis	
	s on target to report back to Cabinet and Full Council with a view to providing the necessary pers to make a decision on the way forward.	information	to enable	